Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/583,316	AARON, ALAIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ELANA B. FISHER	3733	

	ELANA B. FISHER	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: M The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 					
					b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TV MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hourser 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set fort in (i) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filler may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.					
	lianna with 37 CER 44 37 must be	Eladithin two wonths	a of the date of		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od Con attacked Nation of Nan Con		DTOL 204)		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
 Image: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Image: Weak of the content of the content					
non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
KEQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1.					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
is. [] Oulei	. <u> </u>				
/Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733	/Elana B Fisher/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's arguments. Applicant agues that the "the buffer liquid of annular chamber A, like any liquid, is inherently non compressible. The difference between liquids and another substance such as a solid or a gas is that the dregree of compressibility is smaller than the compressibility of those materials. On September 30, 2002 Richard E. Barans, Jr., Ph.D. wrote, "Liquids are compressibility to not compress as much compared to gasses" (See attached document). Accordingly, examiner maintains that the buffer liquid in chamber A is in fact a "compressible material" thereby maintaining the rejection of claims 1 and 3-13 as written in the Final Office Action mailed March 23. 2008.